

Date submitted (Mountain Standard Time): 7/9/2019 12:00:00 AM

First name: Charlie

Last name: Carruthers

Organization:

Title:

Comments:

re. Santa Fe Mountains Landscape Resiliency Project

Mr. Melonas,

I am forwarding the above pdf. because, like many others, I agree with the points it brings up. The public scoping period has felt very rushed. I attended both public meetings recently and I feel the process was inadequate at best, primarily because of the limited time frame- a number of questions or comments were not fully allowed or adequately addressed. There are obviously differing opinions on how to maintain/restore the health of our forests, from forest/ fire ecologists to lay persons who are doing their best to educate themselves within the time frame the Forest Service has allowed. From my observations of areas the FS has 'treated', the affected areas look anything but healthy, and in light of current climatic conditions, feels very detrimental. For these reasons and others, I feel an Environmental Impact Statement should be required.

Sincerely,

Charles Carruthers

Attached Comment:

"Mr. James Melonas Forest Supervisor Santa Fe National Forest

11 Forest Drive Santa Fe, NM 87508

RE: Scoping comments for the Santa Fe Mountains Landscape Resiliency Project

Mr. Melonas,

As a resident of Santa Fe, I am deeply concerned about the enormous size of this project, the short comment period allowed to the public, and the repeated response by the Forest Service employees that there will be no Environmental Impact Statement regarding its effects on our beloved forest. It took longer, with more public input, to approve the small Railyard Project, in comparison to the 50,000 acres in this project.

I would like to know why there is such a rush to push this permanent cutting and burning of our forests? Please extend the comment period to 90 days for a more democratic process. The Forest Service is arguing that the entire forest needs to be thinned and burned, but, the research of Dr. Chad Hansen and Dr. Jack Cohen shows that the most effective mitigation of damaged property in the event of wildfire is to directly treat around homes and structures. This current science must be considered carefully.

I would like for you to allow the climate scientists with experience in forestry, such as Dr. Dominick Della Salla, PhD, to weigh in during this critical time of massive loss of species, habitat, and plants in our local Santa Fe area and throughout the world before settling on the final draft of this plan.

I feel the last thing we need is to cut our carbon sequestering plants. They provide Santa Fe our only buffer against the climate crisis. We truly need every tree we have and every other plant in Santa Fe to help us bring moisture and rain, keep the ground cool as the temperatures rise, and decrease winds and the spread of pests and other parasites on trees that occur with thinning.

I would also like you to consider the health of the wildlife and the public when using aerial ignitions containing potassium permanganate, ethylene glycol and diesel fuel and how these are currently affecting our health and well-being. These harmful chemicals also impact the economy, tourism and reputation of Santa Fe as one of the cleanest cities in the country. Many citizens of Santa Fe are complaining about current fires and the health affects (whereas the equally impacted wildlife cannot).

I am also concerned about opening roads (either lightly loaded or inventoried roadless areas) and increasing the exposure of our wilderness to further exploitation by industry which can degrade wildlife habitat, spread invasive species, and allow arsonist and wildlife poachers free access. Many roads in the forest should be completely obliterated, not improved for access. Thank you for your time and consideration of my concerns.
Sincerely,"

How to Send Your Comments on the
*Santa Fe Mountains Landscape Resiliency
Project*
to the Santa Fe Forest Service

Due by Wednesday, July 10, 2019

- **On-line** use this [online form](#). This is their preferred method, but is very, very limited for comment space.
- **Email** to the following address:
comments-southwestern-santafe@fs.fed.us
Subject line: *Santa Fe Mountains Landscape Resiliency Project*
If attaching a document, please, use .doc, .txt, .pdf, or .rtf formats only.
- **Fax** with your written comment to:
Attn: *Santa Fe Mountains Landscape Resiliency Project*
505-438-5390
- **Postal mail:**
Attn: *Santa Fe Mountains Landscape Resiliency Project*
11 Forest Lane
Santa Fe, NM 87508
- **Hand-delivered** comments may be submitted between 8:00 am and 4:30 pm:
James Melonas
Forest Supervisor
Santa Fe National Forest
11 Forest Lane,
Santa Fe, NM 87508

Please, consider adding treehuggersantafe@gmail.com to the cc or bcc line so we can track whether or not the Forest Service is counting all of our voices.

Mr. James Melonas Forest Supervisor
Santa Fe National Forest
11 Forest Drive
Santa Fe, NM 87508

RE: Scoping comments for the Santa Fe Mountains Landscape Resiliency Project

Mr. Melonas,

As a resident of Santa Fe I am deeply concerned about the enormous size of this project, the short comment period allowed by the public, and the repeated response by the Forest Service employees that there will be no **Environmental Impact Statement** regarding it's effects on our beloved forest. It took longer, with more public input, to approve the small Railyard Project, in comparison to the 50,000 acres in this project.

I would like to know why there is such a rush to push this permanent cutting and burning of our forests? Please extend the comment period to 90 days for a more democratic process.

The Forest Service is arguing that the entire forest needs to be thinned and burned, but the research the research of [Dr. Chad Hansen](#) and [Dr. Jack Cohen](#) shows that the most effective mitigation is to **treat directly around homes and structures**. This current science must be considered carefully.

I would like for you to allow the **climate scientists** with experience in forestry, such as [Dr. Dominick Della Salla, PhD](#), to weigh in during this critical time of massive loss of species, habitat, and plants in our local Santa Fe area and throughout the world before the final draft of this plan.

I feel the last thing we need is to cut our carbon sequestering plants. They provide Santa Fe our only buffer against the climate crisis. We truly need every tree we have and every other plant in Santa Fe to help us bring moisture and rain; keep the ground cool as the temperatures rise; and decrease winds and the spread of pests and other parasites on trees that occur with thinning.

I would also like you to consider the health of the wildlife and the public when using the aerial ignitions using **potassium permanganate, ethylene glycol and diesel fuel** and how these are currently affecting our health and well being, not to mention the economy, tourism and reputation of [Santa Fe as one of the cleanest cities in the country](#). Many citizens of Santa Fe are complaining about current fires and their health affects but the wildlife cannot.

I am also concerned about **opening roads** (either lightly loaded or inventoried roadless areas) and increasing the exposure of our wilderness to further exploitation by industry; can degrade wildlife habitat; spread invasive species; and allow arsonist and wildlife poachers free access. Many roads in the forest should be completely obliterated not improved for access.

Sincerely,